Singlet S-wave superfluidity of proton in neutron star matter

Xu Yan[1**], Zhang Xiao-Jun[1], Fan Cun-Bo[1], Tmurbagan Bao[2], Huang Xiu-Lin[1**], Liu Cheng-Zhi[1**]
1 Changchun Observatory, National Astronomical Observatories,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130117, China
2 College of Physics and Electronic Information,
Inner Mongolia University for the Nationalities, Tongliao 028043, China
(Dated: February 12, 2017)

The possible $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity is investigated in neutron star matter, and the corresponding energy gap as a function of baryonic density is calculated on the basis of BCS gap equation. We have discussed particularly the influence of hyperon degrees of freedom on $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity. It is found that the appearance of hyperons leads to a slight decrease of $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap in most density range of existing $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity. However, when the baryonic density ρ_B >0.377 (or 0.409) fm^{-3} for TM1 (or TMA) parameter set, $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap is significantly larger than the corresponding values without hyperons. And the baryonic density range of existing $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity is widen due to the appearance of hyperons. In our results, the hyperons not only change the EOS and bulk properties but also change the size and baryon density range of $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity in neutron star matter.

PACS numbers: 21.65.-f, 26.60.-c, 13.75.Cs, 21.60.-n, 24.10.Jv

arXiv:1701.04178v1 [astro-ph.HE] 16 Jan 2017

I. INTRODUCTON

The dense neutron star(NS) matter shows us an interesting subject to study the properties of nucleon matter with the density higher than nuclear saturation density ρ_0. There, it has pointed that the various new degrees of freedom, such as hyperons, quarks and their mixed phases, realize according to the density[1–3]. It is well known that NS matter has the properties of the strong degeneracy and exists the attractive interaction between two baryons, which are the conditions for the occurrence of superfluid states in Fermi systems. Thus, NS is already considered as the key laboratories of various superfluidity in nuclear matter[4–13]. In recent years, many studies have been focussing on $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity. Since the protonic superfluid states as well as their pairing strength can greatly suppress the neutrino processes involving nucleons about $10^5 - 10^6$ years of NS cooling phases, affect the properties of rotating dynamics, post-glitch timing observations and possible vertex pinning of NSs[14–22].

The possibility of protonic superfluid states in NS matter is first suggested by Migdal in 1960[23]. The interaction between two protons is the combination of strong repulsive short-range interaction and weaker attractive long-range interaction. In proton matter, when the interparticle distance is much larger than the range of the repulsive interaction, protons will condense into superfluid states due to the attractive interaction. For the size of $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap, the calculations based on the microscopic theory have already carried out a great amount of work. All the numerical calculations yield qualitatively similar ranges for the appearance of $^1S_0$ protonic pairing. However, obtaining the exact numerical results for $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap and evaluating its quantitative influence on NS have been proved to be a hard problem, which is due to that there are many uncertainties about the proton-proton(pp) interactions in NS matter, methods of approximation, paucity of experimental data in extreme conditions and so on. During this period, lots of researches of NS have been performed adopting various frameworks. Currently, many relativistic models call attention in researches on NS since they are well suited to describe NS in accord with the special relativity. The most commonly used among them is the relativistic mean field(RMF) model, extremely successful in nuclear matter studies[24–26].

This article mainly does the following work. We study NS matter for two cases: (i)NS is made up of neutron, proton, electron and muon only (npeμ), (ii)NS is composed of nucleons, hyperons(Λ and Ξ), electron and muon (npHeμ). Our model excludes Σ hyperons on account of the remaining uncertainty of the form of Σ potential in nuclear matter at the saturation density[27, 28]. We use the RMF theory to describe the properties of NS. The $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap is calculated by the Reid soft core(RSC) potential[29, 30]. We mainly focus on the influence of hyperon degrees of freedom on $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap in NS matter.

II. THE MODELS

In the RMF theory, baryonic interactions are described by the exchanged mesons including isoscalar scalar and vector mesons σ and ω, an isovector vector meson ρ, two additional strange mesons σ^* and ϕ. The total lagrangian density of NS matter is[24–26],

$$\begin{aligned} L = \sum_B \overline{\psi}_B [& i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - (m_B - g_{\sigma B}\sigma - g_{\sigma^* B \sigma^*}) - g_{\rho B}\gamma_\mu \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}^\mu \\ & - g_{\omega B}\gamma_\mu \omega^\mu - g_{\phi B}\gamma_\mu \phi^\mu]\psi_B + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2) - \frac{1}{3}a\sigma^3 \\ & - \frac{1}{4}b\sigma^4 + \frac{1}{2}m_\omega^2 \omega_\mu \omega^\mu + \frac{1}{4}c_3(\omega_\mu \omega^\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_\rho^2 \boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu \boldsymbol{\rho}^\mu - \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu v}F_{\mu v} \\ & - \frac{1}{4}G^{\mu v}G_{\mu v} + + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_v \sigma^* \partial^v \sigma^* - m_{\sigma^*}^2 \sigma^{*2}) - \frac{1}{4}S^{\mu v}S_{\mu v} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}m_\phi^2 \phi_\mu \phi^\mu + \sum_l \overline{\psi}_l [i\gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - m_l]\psi_l. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here the field tensors of the vector mesons, σ and ω, are denoted by $F_{\mu v} = \partial_\mu \omega_v - \partial_v \omega_\mu$, and $G_{\mu v} = \partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\rho}_v - \partial_v \boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu$.

The meson fields are seen as classical fields and field operators are instead of their expectation values in the RMF

approximation. The field equations derived from the Lagrange function are

$$\sum_B g_{\sigma B}\rho_{SB} = m_\sigma^2\sigma + a\sigma^2 + b\sigma^3, \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_B g_{\omega B}\rho_B = m_\omega^2\omega_0 + c_3\omega_0^3, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_B g_{\rho B}\rho_B I_{3B} = m_\rho^2\rho_0 \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_B g_{\sigma^* B}\rho_{SB} = m_{\sigma^*}^2\sigma^*, \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_B g_{\phi B}\rho_B = m_\phi^2\phi_0. \tag{6}$$

Here I_{3B} denotes baryonic isospin projection. ρ_{SB} and ρ_B are baryonic scalar and vector densities, respectively. They have the following form,

$$\rho_{SB} = \frac{2J_B+1}{2\pi^2}\int_0^{k_{FB}} \frac{m_B^*}{\sqrt{k^2+m_B^{*2}}}k^2dk,$$

$$\rho_B = \frac{k_{FB}^3}{3\pi^2}. \tag{7}$$

Here J_B is baryonic spin projection, k_{FB} is baryonic Fermi momentum, $m_B^* = m_B - g_{\sigma_B}\sigma - g_{\sigma_B^*}\sigma^*$ is baryonic effective mass.

For NS matter consisting of n, p, Λ, Ξ^0, Ξ^-, e, μ, the charge neutrality condition is given by

$$\rho_p = \rho_{\Xi^-} + \rho_e + \rho_\mu \tag{8}$$

The β equilibrium conditions are expressed as

$$\mu_p = \mu_n - \mu_e, \quad \mu_{\Xi^-} = \mu_n + \mu_e$$

$$\mu_\Lambda = \mu_{\Xi^0} = \mu_n, \quad \mu_\mu = \mu_e. \tag{9}$$

At zero temperature the chemical potential of baryon and lepton are written by

$$\mu_B = \sqrt{k_{FB}^2 + m_B^{*\,2}} + g_{\omega B}\omega_0 + g_{\rho B}\rho_{03}I_{3B} + g_{\phi B}\phi_0,$$

$$\mu_l = \sqrt{k_{Fl}^2 + m_l{}^2}. \tag{10}$$

The $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap Δ_p can be obtained by solving the BCS gap equation,

$$\Delta_p(k) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int k^{'2}dk^{'}\frac{V(k,k^{'})\Delta_p(k^{'})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2(k^{'})+\Delta_p^2(k^{'})}}, \tag{11}$$

where $\varepsilon(k^{'}) = E_p(k^{'}) - E_p(k_{Fp}^{'})$, $E_p(k^{'}) = \sqrt{k^{'2}+m_p^{*2}} + g_{\omega p}\omega_0 + g_{\rho p}\rho_{03}I_{3p} + g_{\phi p}\phi_0$ is protonic single particle energy. For the pp interaction, we adopt the RSC potential which is well suited for applying in NS matter. $V(k,k^{'})$ is defined the matrix element of $^1S_0$ component of RSC potential in momentum space,

$$V(k,k^{'}) = \langle k|V(^1S_0)|k^{'}\rangle = 4\pi\int r^2drj_0(kr)V_{pp}(r)j_0(k^{'}r). \tag{12}$$

Here $V_{pp}(r)$ is $^1S_0$ pp interaction potential in coordinate space. It is expressed in the five-range Gaussian and depends on ρ_B, asymmetry parameter $\alpha = (\rho_n - \rho_p)/\rho_N$ and two-nucleon state β and γ as discussed in Refs[29, 30],

$$V_{NN}(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} c_i(\rho_N,\alpha,\beta,\gamma)e^{\frac{-r^2}{\lambda_i^2}}. \tag{13}$$

TABLE I. The coupling constants of the meson-nucleon of TM1 and TMA sets, the masses are unit of MeV[2, 3].

Set	m_σ	$g_{\sigma N}$	$g_{\omega N}$	$g_{\rho N}$	a	b	c_3
TM1	511.198	10.0289	12.6139	4.6322	7.2325	0.6183	71.3075
TMA	519.151	10.055	12.842	3.8	0.328	38.862	151.59

TABLE II. The scalar coupling constants for hyperons in two sets, the masses are unit of MeV[2, 3].

Set	m_ω	m_ρ	$g_{\sigma\Lambda}$	$g_{\sigma\Xi}$	$g_{\sigma^*\Lambda}$	$g_{\sigma^*\Xi}$
TM1	783.0	770.0	6.2380	3.1992	3.7257	11.5092
TMA	781.95	768.1	6.2421	3.2075	4.3276	11.7314

The critical temperature T_{cp} of $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity is given by its energy gap Δ_p at zero temperature approximation[31],

$$T_{cp} \doteq 0.66\Delta_p. \tag{14}$$

Combining Eqs.(1)-(6) with the charge neutrality and β equilibrium conditions, Eqs.(8) and (9), we can solve the system with a fixed ρ_B. Thus, $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap Δ_p and critical temperature T_{cp} can be obtained from Eqs.(11)-(14).

III. DISCUSSION

As mentioned above, the onset of $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity is determined by the energy gap function Δ_p. Theoretical calculation of Δ_p is sensitively dependent on the model of pp interaction and many-body theory adopted. In this paper, we use the RSC potential as an example to analyze $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity in npeμ and npHeμ matter, respectively. We mainly focus on the influence of hyperon degrees of freedom on $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity. In order to make the results more clearly, we employ two successful parameter sets of TM1 and TMA to calculate separately $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap in NS matter. The parameter sets are listed in Table I and II. For the vector couplings of hyperons, we take the relations derived from SU(6) quark model(see Ref[2, 3, 32] for details),

$$\frac{2}{3}g_{\omega N} = g_{\omega\Lambda} = 2g_{\omega\Xi},\ g_{\rho N} = g_{\rho\Xi},$$
$$2g_{\phi\Lambda} = g_{\phi\Xi} = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}g_{\omega N}.$$



FIG. 1. The EOS in npeμ and npHeμ matter for TM1 and TMA parameter sets.



FIG. 2. Baryonic fraction $Y_i = \rho_i/\rho_B$ as a function of baryonic density ρ_B in npeμ and npHeμ matter for TM1(top panel) and TMA (bottom panel) parameter sets .



FIG. 3. Protonic single-particle energy $E_p(k)$ vs baryonic density ρ_B in npeμ and npHeμ matter for TM1(top panel) and TMA (bottom panel) parameter sets.



FIG. 4. $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap $\Delta_p(k)$ at the Fermi surface as a function of baryonic density ρ_B in npeμ and npHeμ matter for TM1(top panel) and TMA (bottom panel) parameter sets.

TABLE III. The peak values of $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap Δ_p^{max} and the corresponding critical temperature T_{cp}^{max}, baryonic density ρ_B in npeμ and npHeμ matter, for TM1 and TMA parameter sets.

Set	Δ_p^{max}	T_{cp}^{max}	ρ_B
TM1 npeμ	1.560	1.030×10^{10}	0.174
TM1 npHeμ	1.528	1.008×10^{10}	0.175
TMA npeμ	1.068	7.049×10^{9}	0.169
TMA npHeμ	1.052	6.943×10^{9}	0.170

Fig.1 shows the EOS, pressure P versus energy density ε in npeμ and npHeμ matter, for parameter sets TM1 and TMA. From Fig.1 one can see that at low densities the EOSs are unchanged in npeμ and npHeμ matter for two parameter sets. However, as baryonic density increases, the Λ, Ξ^-, Ξ^0 appear one by one. Along with it, the EOS in npHeμ matter gets softer than the EOS in npeμ matter for the two parameter sets. And TMA set makes the EOS more softer in npeμ and npHeμ matter. The soft EOSs must cause significant changes of the bulk properties of NS matter, which must change the the size and baryonic density range of $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap. It can be seen in Eq.(11), the protonic Fermi momentum and single particle energy play the vitally important role in $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap. The Fig.2 represents the results of self-consistent calculation of baryonic particle fraction as a function of baryonic density ρ_B in npeμ and npHeμ matter, for parameter sets TM1 and TMA. It can be see from Fig.2 that the change of EOS(see Fig.1) changes protonic fraction in NS matter, that is, the appearance of hyperons makes protonic fraction Y_p decrease for two parameter sets. This is because that the occurrence of hyperons suppresses protonic fraction due to the conditions of the charge neutrality and β equilibrium(see Eqs.(8) and (9)) in NS matter. Then according to Eq.(7), when hyperons appear in NS, k_{Fp} becomes smaller than the corresponding values in npeμ matter. Fig.3 shows protonic single particle energy E_p as a function of baryonic density ρ_B in npeμ and npHeμ matter, for parameter sets TM1 and TMA. In Fig.3, one can see that E_p in npHeμ matter is also less the corresponding values in npeμ matter for two parameter sets. This is due to the decrease of protonic Fermi momentum in npHeμ matter(see Fig.2).

Up to now, we do not known $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap increase or decrease, if hyperons appear in NS matter. As the uncertainty of the pp interaction, we calculate Δ_p on the basis of the RSC potential. We concentrate on the influence of hyperon degrees of freedom on $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap in NS matter. The peak values of $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap Δ_p^{max} and the corresponding critical temperature T_{cp}^{max}, baryonic density ρ_B in npeμ and npHeμ matter are listed in Table III, for the TM1 and TMA parameter sets. As shown in Table III, the appearance of hyperons makes Δ_p^{max} and T_{cp}^{max} decrease which will inevitably cause the cooling rate of NS changing. In Fig.4, we show $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap Δ_p as a function of baryonic density ρ_B in npeμ and npHeμ matter, for parameter sets TM1 and TMA. As seen from Fig.4, the protonic pairing energy gap Δ_p as the function of ρ_B is a typical bell-shaped curve from zero to a maximum value to zero again. The change of Δ_p in behavior is due to the reduction of mean interparticle distance. From Fig.4, we also can see that $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity appears within the baryonic density range of $\rho_B = 0.0$ – 0.649 (0.685) fm^{-3} and $\rho_B = 0.0$ – 0.953 (0.853) fm^{-3} for parameter sets TM1(or TMA) in npeμ and npHeμ matter, respectively. It is clear from these data that the appearance of hyperons makes baryonic density range of $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity widen. Such baryonic density ranges can cover or partially cover the cores of NSs and are highly relevant to the direct Urca processes involving nucleons which play a leading role in NS cooling. In particular, from Fig.4, the appearance of hyperons also leads to $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap in npHeμ matter obviously larger than the corresponding values in npeμ matter when baryonic density $\rho_B \geq 0.377$ (0.409) fm^{-3} for TM1(or TMA) parameter set (see the two dots shown in Fig.4). According to Eq.(14), the critical temperature of $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity positively increases which could further suppress the cooling rate of NS.

IV. CONCLUSION

We study the influence of hyperon degrees of freedom on the size and baryonic density range of $^1S_0$ protonic paring energy gap by adopting the RMF and BCS theories in NS matter. It is shown that the appearance of hyperons makes the peak values of $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap and critical temperature decrease. And baryonic density range of existing $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity is widen from 0.0 – 0.649 (0.685) fm^{-3} in npeμ matter to 0.0 – 0.953(0.853)fm^{-3} for TM1 (or TMA) parameter sets in npHeμ matter. In addition, when the baryonic density $\rho_B \geq 0.377$ (0.409) fm^{-3} for TM1(or TMA) parameter set, the appearance of hyperons leads to $^1S_0$ protonic pairing energy gap obviously larger than the corresponding values in npeμ matter which could further suppress the cooling rate of NSs. In our results, the appearance of hyperons in NS matter not only changes the EOS and bulk properties but also changes the

properties of $^1S_0$ protonic superfluidity.

[1] Meng J, Toki H, Zhou S G, et al. 2006 Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys **57** 470
[2] Bednarek I, Manka R. 2005 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys **31** 1009
[3] Yang F, Shen H. 2008 Phys. Rev. C **77** 025801
[4] Amundsen L, Østgaard E. 1985 Nucl. Phys. A **437** 487
[5] Chen J M C, Clark J W, Davé R D et al. 1993 Nucl. Phys. A **555** 59
[6] Zhao E G, Wang F. 2001 Chin. Sci. Bull **56** 3797
[7] Zuo W, Li Z H, Lu G C, et al. 2004 Phys. Lett. B **595** 44
[8] Zuo W and Lombardo U. 2010 AIP Conf. Proc **1235** 235
[9] Xu F R. 2012 Chin. Sci. Bull **57** 4689
[10] Tanigawa T, Matsuzaki M, Chiba S. 2004 Phys. Rev. C **70** 065801.
[11] Shen C, Lombardo U, Schuck P. 2005 Phys. Rev. C **71** 054301.
[12] Cao L G, Lombardo U, Schuck P. 2006 Phys. Rev. C **74** 064301.
[13] Xu Y, Liu G Z, Liu C Z, et al. 2013 Chin. Phys. Lett **30** 062101.
[14] Gnedin O Y, Yakovlev D G. 1993 Astron. Lett **19** 104
[15] Zheng X P, Zhou X, Yu Y W. 2006 Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc **371** 1659
[16] Pi C M, Zheng X P, Yang S H. 2010 Phys. Rev. C **81** 045802.
[17] Zhou X, Kang M, Wang N. 2013 Chin. Phys. C **37** 085101.
[18] Chen W, Lam Y Y, Wen D H, et al. 2006 Chin. Phys. Lett **23** 271
[19] Yakovlev D G, Kaminker A D, Gnedin O Y, et al. 2001 Phys. Rep **354** 1
[20] Xu Y, Liu G Z, Wu Y R, et al. 2012 Plasma Sci. Technol **14** 375
[21] Xu Y, Liu G Z, Liu C Z, et al. 2013 Chin. Phys. Lett **30** 129501
[22] Xu Y, Liu G Z, Liu C Z, et al. 2014 Chin. Sci. Bull **59** 273
[23] Migdal A B. 1960 Soviet Phys. JETP **10** 176
[24] Glendenning N K. 1985 Astrophys. J **293** 470
[25] Glendenning N K, Moszkowski S A. 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett **67** 2414
[26] Wang Y N, Shen H. 2010 Phys. Rev. C **81** 025801
[27] Batty C J, Friedman E and Gai A 1994 Phys. Lett. B. **335** 273
[28] Simon B 1983 Ann. Phys. **146** 209
[29] Nishizaki S, Takatsuka T, Yahagi N, et al. 1991 Prog. Theor. Phys **86** 853
[30] Wambach J, Ainsworth T L, Pines D. 1993 Nucl. Phys. A **555** 128
[31] Takatsuka T, Tamagaki R 2004 Nucl. Phys. A. **738** 387
[32] Xu Y, Liu G Z, Wang H Y, et al. 2012 Chin. Phys. Lett **29** 059701